

# **MALES AND FEMALES ARE INTELLECTUAL EQUALS AS LAWYERS AND JUDGES - WHICH DOESN'T SAY TOO MUCH FOR EITHER**

**By Evan Gutman CPA, JD (2013)**

There is no doubt that anyone who believes males are better Judges or lawyers than females, or vice versa, are wholly incorrect. For the most part, the majority of both are pretty much Crap. There are some exceptions. You really can't even differentiate between the two sexes as attorneys for the following reasons. Both sexes play the same manipulative games of deception whether as Judges or lawyers. They've both basically subjugated their sexuality and any semblance of individualism to the economic interests of the legal profession. As for the games of deception they both play, it's really just like a card trick. Once you learn how the trick is played, either a male or female can perform it equally well. The motivations involved in playing the trick are about the same.

One interesting aspect involving the approach to litigation concerns the emotions of the individuals involved. It has been my experience that both male and female attorneys generally do not allow emotions between themselves to interfere with conduct of a case. Both tend to view litigation the same. The goal is to milk the litigants for their money and once the money's gone, get the case settled. It's certainly not a conflict between plaintiff's attorney and defendant's attorney. Rather, the conflict centers upon both attorneys teaming up against both litigants. The Judge is generally on the side of the attorneys and against the litigants. Consequently, it's not too difficult to see that ultimately the attorneys will prevail over the litigants.

Both male and female attorneys I have been exposed to know the law equally well. That means most males and females do not know or understand law at any level below its surface. It is rare when I have come across a lawyer with any in-depth knowledge of American history, western philosophy or the true driving forces of Judicial decision-making. At best, they have a moderate working knowledge of rules of procedure and perhaps a bit of substantive case law in the particular area they're working. Many, don't even have that. Pretty much all of them place their overwhelming reliance on the fact that the Judge will overlook their legal errors and intellectual shortcomings, so as long as they are supportive of the Judiciary and legal profession. Most of them are.

For two reasons, I cannot fault lawyers entirely for their lack of legal knowledge or absence of legal expertise. First, they are only doing what they've been brainwashed to do by the Judiciary and State Bars. In this regard, they are concededly victims as much as perpetrators.

The second reason probably functions even more as a valid defense on their behalf. It is that the laws of this nation both Federal and State have become so cumbersome, so complex, and subject to so many contradicting interpretations, that it is logistically impossible for any person to have a coherent understanding of all aspects of the law. At best, if a lawyer specializes in a narrow field of law, they can probably know it fairly well.

However, your average general practice lawyer works in a wide multitude of areas. They normally work on personal injury suits, divorces, estates, wills, trusts, criminal defense, medical malpractice, contracts, consumer protection, torts and countless other subject matters. It's nothing short of a total pathetic joke. There's simply no way any person can be well versed in all of these areas. As the old saying goes, "a man who knows a little about everything, knows virtually nothing about anything."

The one thing that all of the lawyers know extremely well is that if they've been around long enough they can freely say anything nasty or mean about the litigants in their pleadings. However, under no circumstances are they supposed to say anything nasty about the Judge, no matter how corrupt he or she is. Similarly, it is an exceptional circumstance when a lawyer will say anything derogatory about another lawyer in a pleading. The definition of the term "exceptional" in the prior sentence is generally as follows. An "exceptional" circumstance exists if the case involves a sufficient amount of money in legal fees.

Thus, I conclude that male and female attorneys generally possess the same degree of legal skill and expertise (i.e. minimal). Additionally, both are able within the context of litigation to sufficiently control their emotions in order to achieve what they both perceive to be justice (i.e. legal fees).

One of the most pervasive areas of the law demonstrating the above proposition is divorces. During the last decade, my career has focused primarily on performing business valuation and litigation support services in matrimonial cases. Thus, I have worked with many matrimonial attorneys, both male and female. Subject to a few exceptions, they both tend to view the husband and wife as irrational.

There's really not much of a tendency for female attorneys to view husbands as any more irrational than wives, as one might think. Nor does there seem to be a tendency for male attorneys to view wives as more irrational than husbands. At least so far as my exposure has been, both male and female attorneys tend to view both husbands and wives as irrational. This is because such a perspective works to the mutual advantage of both attorneys. The couple going through the divorce is typically viewed as a joint entity by the attorneys,

notwithstanding the divorce. It's really both attorneys against both litigants, not plaintiff's attorney against defendant's attorney.

The unity of the legal profession is quite pervasive and immoral. The mutual goal of both male and female attorneys, to maximize transference of wealth from litigants to themselves has effectively overcome the battle of the sexes. It's actually quite remarkable. Outside of the legal profession, friction between males and females remains noticeably existent. Without delving too much into the nature of male/female relationships, I think it's fair to say you often hear many men saying, "my wife is nuts" or many women saying "my husband is nuts." The same often applies to relationships in the dating stage. However, that aspect is noticeably diminished in the legal profession.

Both male and female lawyers and Judges control their emotions adequately. Neither are particularly well-versed in legal matters. But, they have to a large degree, overcome the friction between the sexes existing in so many other areas of life.

The factor that accomplished this was the immoral character trait of "Greed" coupled with the mutual understanding between lawyers of both sexes that neither one of them really knows what they're doing.